

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**IN RE: INTEL CORP. CPU MARKETING, SALES
PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2828

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -1)

On April 5, 2018, the Panel transferred 4 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the District of Oregon for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* F.Supp.3d (J.P.M.L. 2018). Since that time, no additional action(s) have been transferred to the District of Oregon. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Michael H. Simon.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the District of Oregon and assigned to Judge Simon.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the District of Oregon for the reasons stated in the order of April 5, 2018, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Michael H. Simon.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of Oregon. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

Apr 18, 2018

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:



Jeffery N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel



Certified to be a true and correct copy of original filed in this District

Dated: 04/18/2018

MARY L. MORAN, Clerk of Court
U.S. District Court of Oregon

By: /s/ D. St. Germain

Pages 1 Through 3

**IN RE: INTEL CORP. CPU MARKETING, SALES
PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2828

SCHEDULE CTO-1 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
ALABAMA NORTHERN			
ALN	3	18-00357	West et al v. Intel Corporation
CALIFORNIA NORTHERN			
CAN	5	18-00105	Carl Jones-v-Intel Corp
CAN	5	18-00111	Rinn et al v. Intel Corporation
CAN	5	18-00146	West v. Intel Corporation, a Delaware corporation
CAN	5	18-00187	Bahcevan v. Intel Corporation
CAN	5	18-00210	Dean et al v. Intel Corporation
CAN	5	18-00235	Lee v. Intel Corporation
CAN	5	18-00298	Zog, Inc. v. Intel Corporation
CAN	5	18-00352	Pascarella et al v. Intel Corporation
CAN	5	18-00379	Mechri et al v. Intel Corporation
CAN	5	18-00580	Sterling v. Intel Corporation
CAN	5	18-00633	Young v. Intel Corporation, a Delaware corporation
CAN	5	18-00742	Park v. Intel Corporation
CAN	5	18-00799	Ferrer v. Intel Corporation
CAN	5	18-00894	City of Providence v. Intel Corp.
CAN	5	18-01216	Artesia General Hospital, et al. v. Intel Corporation
CAN	5	18-01461	Fooshee et al v. Intel Corporation
ILLINOIS CENTRAL			
ILC	2	18-02009	Murphy et al v. Intel Corporation
NEW JERSEY			
NJ	1	18-00540	ROBBINS v. INTEL CORPORATION
NEW MEXICO			
NM	1	18-00051	Storey et al v. Intel Corporation

NEW YORK EASTERN

NYE	1	18-00526	Bernstein et al v. Intel Corporation
NYE	2	18-00147	Rosenberg et al v. Intel Corporation
NYE	2	18-00574	United Food and Commercial Workers International Union Local 1500 v. Intel Corporation